## East Coast College

## Audit Committee Meeting 9am 2 May 2023 Video Conference

Present:	<b>resent:</b> Giles Kerkham (GK) Rob Evans (RE) Roland Kaye (RK) and Teresa Sharman (TS)	
In attendance:	Wendy Stanger (Director of Governance) Urmila Rasan (Deputy Executive) Lucy Wharry (Head of Finance) Suzanne Rowlett (RS Audit) Adam Smith (ScruttonBland External Audit.) and Mike Kelf Manager for item 5)	M Internal
	Confidential Private Session with the Internal and External Auditors	Action
A private sessio concern were ra	n was held with the Internal and External Auditors. No issues of ised.	
A/23/05/1	Membership and Apologies	
	id Shaw (DS) and from Urmila Rasan (Deputy Chief Executive) who rt of the meeting.	
Teresa Sharma	n (TS) was welcomed to her first meeting.	
A/23/05/2	Declarations of Interest	
There were no r	new declarations of interest	
A/23/05/3	To approve the Minutes of the meeting of the Audit meeting held on 6 <sup>th</sup> December 2022 and any other matters raised previously not otherwise included in the Agenda	
The minutes of t	he meeting of 6 <sup>th</sup> December 2022 were agreed as a true record.	
A/23/05/4	To review the post-meeting action log	
The action log w	as reviewed and it was noted that all were complete.	
A/23/05/5	Funding Audit – Verbal Update	
Audit. The report when the final IL issues were with Governors chall Manager advise and sector wide error can result Executive advise recognised that	ef Executive and MIS Manager updated the Committee on the Funding t was expected mid-May with the clawback amount to be calculated R was run. As was reported to the Board the main funding compliance apprenticeships. enged how far back errors identified in the audit went. The MIS d that the rules changed in 2018/19 to a more complicated system colleges were struggling with the rules. With apprenticeships one in the full programme's funding being clawed back. The Deputy Chief ed that the funding audit system had also changed. It has been the complexity of the funding rules had caused issues across the e are to be some rule changes.	

	mented that the report again highlighted funding compliance. Staff se that we do not get paid for teaching but for compliance with the ata.	
assurance with lot of manual pr consideration of	resented the Enrolment – Learner Journey Audit. This was reasonable one medium and three low priority actions. Overall the College had a ocesses compared to other colleges and additional information for in how this could be improved had been included in the report.	
A/23/05/6.2	Enrolment – Learner Journey	
•	esented a progress report on the internal audit programme together general updates.	
A/23/05/6.1	Progress Report	
A/23/05/6	Internal Audit Reports	
The Deputy Chi	ef Executive and MIS Manager left the meeting.	
-	ition to be asked to review in detail the future of apprenticeships v if retained quality and funding compliance can be improved.	Chair
<ul> <li>Mock Funding Audit not to be carried out in the academic year 2022/23 and the budgeted resources used for the Subcontracting Audit.</li> </ul>		UR
	y Audit Action Plan to include how the College team are trained lated on the funding rules.	
• Funding Audit Action Plan to be reviewed at the July Audit Committee.		UR
The Committee agreed the following actions:		UR
not carry out a r subcontracting a over £100k but	ef Executive advised that given the funding audit it was proposed to nock funding audit and instead use the resources for the audit. This audit was an annual requirement where subcontracting was it was now a more detailed review. This change in the audit ay mean that subcontracting was not cost effective at its current level.	
the low contribu continue providi that this would o employer need		
College's incom higher than the	mented that the estimated clawback was high as a percentage of the e. The Deputy Chief Executive advised that the income at risk was College's annual income as with apprenticeships clawback could be period of the apprenticeship.	
compliance. The	enged if there had been errors in off the job training funding e MIS Manager advised that the improvements made by the College no material errors identified during the audit.	
Assurance Revi	e paper in the Progress Report at agenda item 6.1 on 'Funding ews – Common Issues.' Governors concluded that there needed to be sector to simplify the rules.	

The Committee thanked the internal auditors for a comprehensive report and noted that all actions had been agreed by the College.

A/23/05/7	To review the Risk Register	
A/23/05/7.1	Strategic Risk Register	
A/23/05/7.2	Tactical - Finance and General Purposes	
A/23/05/7.3	Tactical - Quality and Standards	
A/23/05/7.4	Tactical - Curriculum Development	
A/23/05/7.5	Tactical - People	
A/23/05/7.6	Tactical - Governance Remuneration & Search	

The Committee reviewed the risk registers and the minute extracts.

Governors queried the process for the risk register reviews. The Director of Governance advised that the tactical risk registers were reviewed termly by the Committees, with the date of the review noted on the register, each risk on the strategic register was linked to the tactical risks and these were listed on the strategic register in the mitigation column. The Strategic Register was reviewed after the tactical registers had been reviewed.

Governors commented that when the Committees were considering the Audit Committees questions there needed to be a yes/no response and that an overall risk summary would be useful for the Committee and Board's understanding and review of risk.

The Committee agreed the following actions:

- That the risk register report to the Audit Committee and Board should include a governance summary report which highlighted issues of concern and main changes since the last review.
- Risk register guidance to be re-circulated to the Committee.

A/23/05/8	Fraud Register	
The fraud register was noted and that it was again a nil return.		
A/23/05/9	Board Assurance Mapping	
The Board Assurance Mapping was noted. The Director of Governance clarified that the date and the RAG rating was based on the last review of that assurance area.		
	Policies for Approval	
A/23/05/10	QD036 Whistleblowing - no material changes	
The Director of Governance advised that the policy had been reviewed with no material change.		

The Committee resolved to recommend the Whistleblowing Policy to Corporation for approval.		
A/23/05/11	Managing Public Money	
A/23/05/11.1	ONS Guides	
The Director of Governance advised that following the Office for National Statistics' reclassification of colleges into the central government sector in November 2022, the College is now subject to the government's financial management framework set out in Managing Public Money and the report set out the areas of the College's policies that required amending to comply. The revised policies would be brought to the next committee for approval. Specific area guidance had been set out in the DFE's Bite Sized Guides. These are:		
College	requirements regarding asset disposals	
College	requirements for indemnities, guarantees and letters of comfort	
<b>.</b>	requirements for special payments, including severance, sation and ex-gratia payments	
College	requirements for write-offs and losses	
Senior p	ay controls for colleges	
And the require	nents would be detailed in a financial handbook due next year.	
	e noted the changes that are to be made in the College's policies et the MPM compliance requirements.	
A/23/05/12	Post Audit Code, Accounts Direction and Regularity Audit Questionnaire	
Regularity Audit	Governance advised that the Post Audit Code, Accounts Direction and Questionnaire had been amended to take account of Managing The summary paper set out the main changes.	
The Internal and	External Auditors left the meeting.	
A/23/05/13	External Audit Tender	
The Director of Governance presented the report and advised that the Post 16 Audit Code requires Corporations to ensure that the external audit contract is put out to tender at least every 5 years. It is proposed the CPC Framework is used for a tendering process to appoint external auditors as from the 2022/23 audit.		
tendering at this	ied if using the framework would maximise choice and whether stage in the year would attract firms. It was noted that RSM would not er as they were the College's internal auditors and also provided other	
The Director of Governance advised that firms were pulling out of the sector and were not tendering as was seen when Internal Audit was tendered. A value for money analysis could be carried out if there were only one or two tenders.		
analysis could b		

• Timetable to be detailed to enable External Auditor appointment at the 4 <sup>th</sup> July Corporation.		UR UR
Consideration given to retendering if insufficient interest.		UN
A/23/05/14	Agenda Planning	
July Committee		
Funding	Audit Report and action plan.	
External	Audit Tender – this may need an additional one item meeting.	
A/23/05/15	Review of Meeting	
1. Confider	tial Items: Detail of audit findings.	
2. Risk Management: None		
3. Health and Safety: None		
4. Equality and Diversity: None		
5. Media: None		
6. How did the meeting go: Good meeting.		