East Coast College Corporation Meeting Monday 18th October 2021 9am Video Conference

Present:	David Blake (Chair - DB) Alan Debenham (AD) Mike Dowdall (MD) Tina Ellis (TE) Rob Evans (RE) Saul Humphrey (SH) Giles Kerkham (GK) Ian Lomax (IL) Stuart Rimmer (CEO & Principal -SR) Andrew Walmsley (AW) Gemma Head (GH) Peter Lavender (PL) and Andrew Timberlake (AT)			
In attendance:	Wendy Stanger (Director of Governance) Ann Wall (Director of People and Wellbeing) Paul Padda (Deputy Principal) and Urmila Rasan (Deputy Chief Executive)			
ECC/21/10/1 Ap	ologies and membership	Action		
Apologies				
Apologies received	from Jude Owens (JO) and Jose Bamonde (JB)			
Membership				
Alan Debenham (AD) Tina Ellis (TE) Rob Evans (RE) Stuart Rimmer (CEO & Principal - SR) Saul Humphrey (SH) Peter Lavender (PL) Andrew Timberlake (AT) Gemma Head (GH) Mike Dowdall (MD) Giles Kerkham (GK) David Blake (Chair - DB) Kirk Lower (KL) Ian Lomax (IL) Andrew Walmsley (AW) Jose Bamonde (JB) and Jude Owens (JO)				
Kirk Lower (KL) has	s been granted a leave of absence as of 1 8 21 due to ill-health.			
ECC/21/10/2	Declarations of Interest			
There were the follo	owing declarations of interest:			
Mike Dowda	all as an employee of the LEP			
It was agreed that t	this declaration did not preclude full participation in the meeting.			
	To approve the Minutes, including confidential, of the meeting 21 9 21 and any other matters raised previously not otherwise included in the Agenda			
The minutes for the the meeting.	The minutes for the Corporation meeting of 21 9 21 were agreed as a true record of the meeting.			
Governors noted that EDF Energy has now set out £250m of financial contributions, known as the Deed of Obligation, designed to mitigate the impacts of the project, provide legacy benefits and compensate some of those affected and this included £22m work programme with Further Education colleges and training organisations, new centres of excellence to train future Sizewell employees and bursaries. The CEO advised that the College's memorandum of understanding with Sizewell set out how the College was to benefit and centres of excellence were being considered at part of that work.				
ECC/21/10/4	Rolling Action Log			
The action log and	The action log and the updates were reviewed.			

drafted by the Sei	/04/4 – The CEO advised that the SWOT and PESTEL had been nior Team and were to be reviewed by the Chair prior to lovember's Corporation.	
	/04/4 – The CEO advised that the UEA had been written to and ould be reviewed at November's Corporation.	
ECC/21/10/5	Confidential	
ECC/21/10/6	Confidential Cleaning Contract	

		UR
ECC/21/10/7	Governance Update	
ECC/21/10/7.1	Governance Self-Assessment Review as recommended by Governance Remuneration and Search	
ECC/21/10/7.2	Governance Remuneration and Search, Curriculum Development and Quality and Standards Annual Reports	
ECC/21/10/7.3	Interim Chief Executive Education and Skills Funding Agency letter to the Sector	
reviewed by the Creflected in the Ceach Committee on the Committee Chief Executive E	Governance presented the update. The Governance SAR had been Governance Remuneration and Search Committee and was ollege SAR. The annual committee reports had been approved at and it was hoped would ensure that the Corporation were sighted ses work and were to published on the College's website. The Interimeducation and Skills Funding Agency letter to the Sector set out ions and included how the College was addressing the issues.	
Assistant Principa	f Executive advised that training had been carried out with the als and the Regularity, Compliance and Propriety Manual would hal wording as planned at the end of October.	
 Nominati 	ed the following actions: ons for College and University of Suffolk honorary awards to o the Director of Governance.	All
 Appointing Quality a position 	olved to note the written resolutions that had been passed: ng Roland Kaye as an Associate Governor on the Audit and nd Standards Committee for an initial period of 1 year when the will be reviewed and ve the following time-limited amendment to section 9.3 of the	
_ T		

Current wording – "Deputy Chief Executive - Deputy CEO will be setting all budgets and will not be responsible for any individual budgets. This is to ensure independence."

Proposed wording – "Deputy Chief Executive - Deputy CEO will set all budgets and, as a short-term measure for a time-limited period ending 26th October 2021, will take over responsibility for all pay and non-pay budgets from the existing budget holders and also will agree all budget changes and income claims. In order to ensure independence during this period, the management of the Finance Team will pass from the Deputy CEO to the Director of People and Wellbeing."

This amendment is time-limited until Tuesday 26th October 2021 and after that date section 9.3 of the Regularity, Compliance and Propriety Manual will revert to its existing wording on Wednesday 27th October 2021

And

Corporation resolved to

- Confirm the Chairs action, following Governance Remuneration and Search Committee's recommendation, to appoint Albert Cadmore as a College Patron both for his governance work at the College and his work in the Community. This award to be bestowed at graduation on 19 10 21
- Approve the appointment of Roger Cracknell as a College Fellow for his governance work at Lowestoft Sixth Form College and then East Coast College
- consider if there are any persons that the GRS Committee should put forward for nomination for University of Suffolk honorary awards.
- Approve the appointment of Dave Cole as an Associate Governor on Finance and General Purposes Committee for a period of 2 year
- Approve the appointment of David Shaw as an Associate Governor on Audit Committee for a period of 2 years
- Amend the Audit terms of reference to allow up to 3 associate governors
- Amend the standing orders to provide consistency between the Instrument and Articles and Standing Orders to
 - 3. Staff Governors
 - 3.1 The Corporation shall include up to two staff governors who are members of the institution's staff and have a contract of employment with the institution
 - 3.2 Staff Governors shall be elected by their peers in an election organised by the Director of Governance.
- Confirm the appointment of Nasima Begum to the role of Staff Governor

ECC/21/10/8	College Self-Assessment (SAR) - as recommended by Standards Committee	
ECC/21/10/8.1	College Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) - as recommended by Standards Committee	
ECC/21/10/8.2	Apprenticeship QIP - as recommended by Standards Committee	

The Deputy Principal presented the College SAR together with the College QIP and Apprenticeship QIP. These had been reviewed by the Standards Committee and Governors had attended all curriculum area SARs.

Governors raised concern about the decline in maths and English outcomes. The Deputy Principal advised that the decline had been in functional skills. They had been detrimentally affected by Covid restrictions and assessment had been through exams rather than by teacher. Overall there are areas within maths and English that show consistently good practice and as a result students enjoyed a good experience of teaching and learning and achieved their qualifications. This now needed to be seen cross college with practise and delivery reviewed so that students understood its importance, attended and achieved.

Governors noted that apprenticeship was a serious strategic risk to the College's overall assessment and ambition to be outstanding and the area needed to show quick and sustained improvement.

Governors commented that the curriculum area SARs had seen an honest assessment and demonstrated positive action to improve with some areas being outstanding. There was in some cases a need to differentiate between outputs and outcomes, increased reflection and more data analysis. The Deputy Principal advised that a review of the SAR process would be carried out through the Curriculum Leadership Team and the Quality Improvement Group.

Governors challenged if there was sufficient urgency in quality improvements to ensure a secure Ofsted inspection. The Deputy Principal advised that there was a need to ensure that the QIPs actions were implemented and their impact reviewed and this would be assisted by the new Quality Team. There were areas of concern that if not improved would detrimentally affect an Ofsted rating. Apprenticeships needed to focus on quality improvement as set out in their QIP and progress on this and their capacity to improve would be reviewed by a further MESMA review in November.

Governors discussed the QIP, whether they were robust enough and addressed the root causes. They challenged if the College had the right resources to improve. The CEO advised that the College QIP was informed by the curriculum area's QIPs and these in some cases needed to be strengthened to ensure improvement and a review of management capacity was being carried out.

Governors commented that some of the issues with leadership and management and resultant actions were long standing issues and challenged how it would be ensured that there were improvements. There needed to be purposeful action with a stronger focus on tacking under performance. The CEO commented that leadership and management was a limiting Ofsted grade and was key in moving to outstanding. There needed to be a one College approach, communicated well and consistently implemented.

Governors discussed if grading was a distraction given the focus should be on quality improvement. The SAR and QIP were good documents and enabled the Board to understand the College's strengths and weaknesses and what actions were needed to improve.

Governors concluded that the SAR's overall assessment of good was the correct one. There were areas that were already outstanding and their learning needed to be shared throughout the College.

Governors agreed the following actions:

 People Committee to review leadership and management issues set out in the SAR and QIP.

TE left the meeting.

People Cttee

ECC/2	1/10/9	Confidential	
			AD
_			
			UR
SH left	the meetin	g.	
ECC/2	1/10/11	Review of Meeting	
		al Items – As marked on the agenda items 5, 6, 9 & 10	
2.	Risk Mana Assessme	gement: any issues discussed which may require an additional	
3.		Diversity: any issues discussed which may require an additional	
	Impact Ass	sessment – no additions	
4.	Health & Safety: any issues discussed which may require an additional		
5	Impact Assessment – None. 5. Sustainability: any issues discussed which may require an additional		
0.	Impact Assessment – none		
	Media: any issues discussed to inform local media – none		
7.		e meeting go – a good meeting that focused on quality	
	improveme	tilio	